1	DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR			
2	FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE			
3	EASTERN COLLIER MULTIPLE SPECIES HABITAT			
4	CONSERVATION PLAN			
5	NAPLES, FLORIDA			
6				
7				
8	IN RE: Draft Environmental Impact Statement			
9	Eastern Collier Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan			
10	FWS D4 FS 2016 NO27			
11	FWS-R4-ES-2016-N037 40120-1112-0000-F2			
12				
13				
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS			
15				
16				
17	Public Meeting, in the above-styled action, held			
18	at the University of Florida/Institute of Food and			
19	Agricultural Sciences Collier County Extension, 14700			
20	Immokalee Road, Naples, Florida, at 5:30 p.m. on the			
21	12th day of April, 2016.			
22				
23	MARTINA REPORTING SERVICES			
24	2069 First Street Fort Myers, Florida (239) 334-6545 FAX (239) 332-2913			
25				

1	
2	APPLICANTS:
3	ALICO, INC.
4	BARRON COLLIER INVESTMENTS, LTD.
5	COLLIER ENTERPRISES MANAGEMENT, INC.
6	CONSOLIDATED CITRUS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
7	ENGLISH BROTHERS PARTNERSHIP
8	HELLER BROS. PACKING CORP.
9	JOHN E. PRICE, JR. TRUST
10	PACIFIC LAND, LTD.
11	SUNNILAND FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u>
2	
3	ROXANNA HINZMAN, FIELD SUPERVISOR
4	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Ecological Field Office
5	Vero Beach, Florida
6	KEN MCDONALD, PROJECT MANAGER U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
7	KEN WARREN, PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICER
8	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
9	
10	ALSO PRESENT:
11	CONNIE CASSLER, Regulatory Chief U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
12	KEVIN GODSEA, Refuge Manager
13	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
14	DAVE SHINDLE, Panther Coordinator U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

I N D E X **PARTICIPANT: PAGE** Roxanne Hinzman Kenneth McDonald Bruce Johnson Amber Crooks Elise Bennett John Dwyer Karen Dwyer Megan Sorbo Katrina Shadix Ruth McGregor Tamara Paquette Nancy Koerner Gaylene Vasaturo Emily Ruff Kay Herring Jeanne Jain Nicole Anastos Judy Dempsey Bonnie Michaels Nancy Payton Clayton Walker Bobbie Lee Davenport Ralph Jiunta

1	INDEX	
2	<u>PARTICIPANT</u> :	<u>PAGE</u>
4		
5	Michele Bumbier	82
6	Pam Brown	83
7	Patty Whitehead	85
8	Aaron Knott	86
9	Pamela Duran	87
10	Jaime Duran	88
11	Brad Cornell	89
12	Jennifer Christiansen	91
13	sally Woliver	93
14	John Psaras	94
15	Dona Knapp	96
16	Andie Psaras	98
17	Carmen Flesher	100
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	APRIL 12, 2016 - EVENING SESSION
2	5:30 P.M.
3	(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:)
4	
5	MR. WARREN: Good afternoon, and welcome to our
6	Public Scoping meeting. We're glad you're here.
7	The meeting will start promptly at 5:30. Right
8	now is still a part of the open house portion of
9	the agenda; and we're glad to see everybody out and
10	about, talking and learning as much about the
11	project the project, excuse me, as you can.
12	But in about 15 actually, 13 minutes or so,
13	we'll begin to start.
14	Thanks again for coming.
15	(Thereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
16	MS. HINZMAN: Good evening, ladies and
17	gentlemen. All right. Can everybody hear me?
18	Okay. Good evening, and welcome. Thank you
19	for attending.
20	My name is Roxanna Hinzman. I'm with the U.S.
21	Fish and Wildlife Service. I am the Field Office
22	Supervisor in the South Florida Ecological Services
23	Field Office in Vero Beach, Florida.
24	Before we begin, I'd like to take a brief
25	moment and do a little safety check.

2.5

I'm going to point out the emergency exits, where you came in, and then out the door that way. There's a set over here and one on each side, right? Of the front of the building, should we need them. The restrooms are out that door and around the corner there.

Please take a moment to mute your cell phones.

Also, the limit in this room for capacity is 300 people. It looks like we're okay so far, but that is the limit for this evening.

All statements tonight made from the podium or during the public comment period later this evening are being recorded by our court reporter, Andrea Stefanick, and these will be made part of the administrative record for the project this evening. This meeting is also being presented in realtime online.

We'd like to recognize any elected officials or their representatives tonight. I know we have Luke Killam, who is the Regional Director for Marco Rubio's staff back in the back here.

Do we have anybody else? That's the only one I have on the list, but if there are any others that would like to be recognized? Local or tribal?

State? County? No? Okay. Then we do not.

He is

At this time I'd like to introduce the key 1 2 members of the project. Ken McDonald is sitting at the table here. 3 He'll be doing our presentation tonight. 4 serving as the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 5 project manager for the -- for the project. 6 Ken Warren is our Public Affairs Officer in the 7 back of the room here, so if you're with the press 8 and would like to speak with someone, please get with Ken. 10 We also have Connie Cassler here in the front. 11 Connie is our Regulatory Chief for the southwest 12 portion of Southern Florida. 13 Dave Shindle is our panther coordinator, who is 14 somewhere. There he is in the back. He's our 15 recovery lead for the panther. 16 Kevin Godsea is also in the back here. 17 our refuge manager at Panther and Ten Thousand 18 Island National Wildlife Refuge. 19 Security tonight is being provided by Angela 20 we appreciate her being here and looking out 21 22 for your safety. 23 So I'd like to go over the purpose of sort of our process for this evening in the meeting. 24

2.5

The purpose of the meeting is to solicit public

input for the preparation of an environmental impact statement, or EIS, related to an anticipated permit application from nine Collier County, Florida, landowners for the incidental take of federally-listed species.

This meeting is being held in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act, also known as NEPA.

The permit application will include an Eastern Collier Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan prepared in accordance with the Endangered Species Act. The NEPA process will be used to evaluate habitat conservation plans and identify reasonable alternatives. NEPA is intended to be a public process and your input is encouraged and appreciated, and that's why we're having this meeting this evening.

Additional details about the project are going to be provided in an upcoming presentation.

An open comment period will follow the presentation. If anyone would like to make an oral statement related to the scope of the EIS, if you have not already done so, please fill out a request comment. I want to show you a card. Here it is.

One of these little cards, if you haven't already

1.3

2.5

seen them and filled them out, if you'd like to come up and talk with us this evening, please fill one of those out and give it to the folks at the front table.

Also, you can -- whoops, sorry -- make written comments on one of the comment cards, comment form here. If you prefer not to come up to the -- to the podium, you're welcome to do that, and we'll take those any time this evening.

If you haven't already signed in and decide later you'd like to sign in, we'll be taking the requests to speak all through the evening.

Comments may also be submitted via e-mail.

All of the options for submitting comments are provided in this brochure that you received in the -- when you came in. The website is on the front page. All the opportunities for how you can comment are on the back page. So if you didn't pick one of these up when you came in, please pick one up. It would give you some good information and links to the places where you need to -- to go.

The evening is divided into three parts. I'm going to give you a short discussion of the ground rules, then there will be a short presentation on the proposed project, and then we're going to

launch into the major part of the -- tonight's meeting, which is the public comment portion.

First, let me go over some ground rules.

when you come to the microphone, please state your name clearly and spell it for Andrea. That way, she can get it all down and into the record correctly. You have to be registered to speak, as I said.

If you don't fill out one of the cards, you will not be allowed to speak. You can also not give your speaking time to someone else.

we have already over 40 people asking to speak, so time is short. We're going to give everybody an equal opportunity to speak, so there's going to be a limit of two minutes for the speaking allocation.

when there's 60 seconds remaining in your speaking time, Tia is going to be sitting up front and she'll give you a little sign that says 60 seconds, and then at 30 seconds she'll give you another one, and then when your two minutes are up she'll tell you to please wrap it up.

We don't expect you to stop in the middle of a sentence when your time is up, but we do ask that you wrap it up and don't continue on a dialogue.

So we mean wrap it up, please leave the microphone,

so everybody has an opportunity.

1.3

2.5

This is an opportunity for all of you to register your thoughts and your comments on the proposed federal action and to have those comments entered into the official record for consideration.

Let me tell you what this is not. It is not a court proceeding.

sir?

UNIDENTIFIED PARTICIPANT: I want to know if giving the name and identifying ourselves is going to count for the two minutes.

MS. HINZMAN: No. I'll make an executive decision, no. Because if your name is like mine, it takes a while to spell.

All right. So what this is not: This is not a court proceeding, although it is a federal public meeting and I expect that the demeanor will be the same as a courtroom. There will be no testimony under oath and there is no cross-examination. It's also not a debate. It's not a debate between the audience and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, nor between members of the audience. There is no rebuttal and there is no second shot at the apple, and it's not a question-and-answer session.

Tonight's meeting is simply designed to receive

your oral comments as a means for us to gain information regarding your view of the proposed action and what information and analyses should be included in the EIS.

You can ask a question. The question may not be answered here, but will be recorded by Andrea, and we will review the transcript and the other materials submitted during the comment period and we'll take your concerns under consideration at that time, and your questions, and do our best to make sure they're answered in our document.

The only exception to this is if something really goes to the essence of the meeting, for example, your question, and then we'll provide a short answer for you.

You do not have to speak even though you have registered. If you find, before you have adequately -- if you find that people before you have adequately covered your comments or your concerns and you don't feel the need to step up to the microphone, you don't have to. When I call your name, you can just pass.

Comments that -- will receive the same level of review whether they're made once or twice or a hundred times.

You can also submit written comments and supporting information at any time up through April 25th, 2016. The online and mailing addresses for that purpose are available at the speaker registration table and also in the brochure that you've received.

All public comments and information received during the open comment period, regardless of the submission method, will be thoroughly reviewed and given due consideration by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as we reach our final decision.

We ask that you do not applaud, that you do not make loud noises, and I direct you not to ridicule any of the speakers. Civility and courtesy are the watchwords for this evening.

We recognize that this is an emotional aspect to some of the proposed action, but we remind you that not all speakers are experienced. Some are nervous, some are timid, some possibly would not come forward and speak in a hostile atmosphere.

And we do not want anyone to be intimidated. We want everyone to be able to come comfortably to the microphone and give us their comments.

In addition, loud noises and the like use up valuable time and the court reporter cannot hear

and make proper transcripts of the proceeding. 1 Placards and signs are not allowed in the 2 meeting room. 3 These ground rules are necessary to guarantee 4 everyone a fair and equal opportunity to be heard, 5 and we will enforce the ground rules. 6 Having said all of that, again, welcome. We're 7 glad you're here tonight. We appreciate your 8 interest in participating in the process. 9 Ken McDonald, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 10 and Bruce Johnson will stand --11 (Interruption.) 12 MS. HINZMAN: okay? 1.3 -- and will be giving the presentation. 14 Also, I want to recognize the staff of AECOM. 15 Tom Pride and his cast of ten have done a 16 magnificent job putting this together for us 17 tonight and we appreciate their help. 18 We hope you enjoy the next presentation. 19 Good evening. I'm Kenneth MR. MCDONALD: 20 McDonald. I'm the project manager who has been 21 tasked with reviewing the Eastern Collier Multiple 22 23 Species Habitat Conservation Plan. We will be, of course, reviewing it under two authorities, the 24

National Environmental Policy Act and through the

2.5

1 Endangered Species Act.

Tonight's meeting, as Roxanna has mentioned, actually began at five with an open house. 5:30 we began with these presentations. We are hoping to move through this quickly and start at six o'clock with the public comment, which is truly the heart of this meeting.

UNIDENTIFIED PARTICIPANT: Could you turn your microphone up a little bit?

MR. McDONALD: Can you hear me now? All right. So the purpose of my presentation, not so much the meeting, perhaps, is to explain in some measured detail the proposed action, some of the related regulations that -- the authorities that we are reviewing this project under, and to begin the process of scoping, which actually began with publication of our intent to prepare a draft environmental impact statement, and concludes on April 25th, 2016, and which your comments will ultimately be used to inform, not just how and what we study when we review this project.

we will have a little more detail in a moment about the proposed action, but generally the applicants have sought or are intending to seek an incidental take permit. The habitat conservation

plan, which is required by law to accompany that application, will seek to determine the effects to ten federally-listed species and six state-listed species, but also proposes conservation measures TO the benefit of all.

And it covers a large area, approximately 152,124 acres of privately-owned lands in Eastern Collier County, Florida. This is not the largest habitat conservation plan ever undertaken; and in these United States are many states which have pursued incidental take permits and filed abstract conservation plans with the Service, which were obviously much larger in scope, duration, and area.

The duration of this proposed incidental take permit would be 50 years.

The applicants are: Alico, Incorporated;

Barron Collier Investments, Ltd; Collier

Enterprises Management, Incorporated; Consolidated

Citrus Limited Partnership; English Brothers

Partnership; Heller Brothers Packing Corporation;

John E. Price, Jr., Trust; Pacific Land, Ltd.; and

Sunniland Family Limited Partnership.

As mentioned previously, the incidental take permit application is being pursued under the provisions that have been identified in Section 10

of the Endangered Species Act. Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act provides a number of permits to private entities. These include the collection permits that are held by state biologists whenever they capture and -- and tag and monitor Florida panthers, or even by your local zoo when they hold federally threatened listed -- federally threatened or endangered species in their collections for scientific education or conservation work that they pursue.

2.5

And Section 10 permits are "the" mechanism by which nonfederal entities would seek permission to engage in incidental takes while pursuing an otherwise lawful activity.

Key definitions that are found in the Endangered Species Act.

Take. What is this word? We use it a lot.

Lawyers came up with this word. And a lot of the words that are in it don't use the intuitive meaning that the layperson would understand.

"Take" means to harass, to harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect any threatened or endangered species.

The applicants in this case are seeking an incidental take permit for harassment and harm, not

(239) 334-6545

for injury or killing.

"Harm" may include significant habitat modification that could lead indirectly to the death of an animal or lead to its injury through the impairment of essential behavior, such as foraging, breeding, or sheltering.

In order to qualify for an incidental take permit, the take has to be incidental. It cannot be the intended purpose of the action. It has to be a byproduct, an unintended byproduct of the action.

And when an applicant applies for an incidental take permit, they have to demonstrate inside this document that's required, the habitat conservation plan, the steps that they will do to the maximum extent practicable; what they will do to minimize and mitigate the effects of their actions on federally-listed species.

In addition to that, the taking cannot appreciably reduce the likelihood of the survival and recovery of the species in the wild, or, in Endangered Species Act jargon, it cannot jeopardize the continuance of the species or adversely modify designated critical habitat.

And it may also require other measures that we

would require of the applicant to further mitigate and minimize the effects of their actions if they're reasonable, if they're practical, and if they're effective.

Our role with the habitat conservation plan directly is we're providing technical assistance to the applicants to ensure that the habitat conservation plan that they're proposing will not jeopardize the continued existence of any species, adversely modify any designated critical habitat, or lead to the listing of other species that are not currently protected by the Endangered Species Act but could if some applicant anywhere acted in such a way that it causes decline and we would consider it for listing.

Broadly, if you guys have ever seen the actual matrix that dictates this process, it actually looks like kudzu. We have distilled it here to something that's a little more sane and comprehendible.

This began when the Eastern Collier property owners developed a draft HCP and submitted it to us last April. We provided some additional comments, we had several meetings, and we agreed to push forward and initiate this process.

The Service then at that time decided that we had enough information to justify preparing a draft environmental impact statement.

The way this part will work, and we'll get more into this in a little bit, tonight your comments will be used to develop alternatives that we will study and will inform the studies that we've performed while preparing the draft environmental impact statement.

when we have completed that draft environmental impact statement, we will publish it in the public eye, in the Federal Register, and make it available to the public on our website and through many other means; and the public will be able to review what we study and how we study. And we will solicit from you comments, again, 45-day comment period. And you will have the opportunity to tell us: Did you -- did the Service -- did you study everything that we asked you to study? And did you use the best scientific methods available? And did you use the best scientific and commercially available information when you did that study?

At that point, after that comment period has closed, we will review those comments; we will improve that draft; we will submit a final

2.5

environmental impact statement and record a record of decision, which alternatively ultimately shows.

After that time the Service itself has its own internal obligation under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. At that time we will review in full the effects of the listed species and we will issue a biological opinion of what the effect of our action would be on them at that time. And that would be the final steps prior to the issuance of an incidental take permit if it is so selected to be so.

So, what is a habitat conservation plan?

Generally, it could be very confusing for folks not familiar with the process. Habitat conservation plans are required documents under Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act whenever any party, any member of the public, goes and applies for an incidental take permit. And it describes how the action may affect a listed species, how it -- how it might result in incidental take; what manner of that effects will be, and what the applicant will do to minimize, mitigate, or avoid that effect.

HCPs, unlike what we would pursue under Section 7 if this were a federal action, which is the process through which the federal agencies would -- would seek authorization for take, HCPs extend or include nonlisted species as well. They include state-listed species that are being covered in this application. Were this a federal process where a federal agency came to us, we would not be discussing state listed species and how to manage the impacts and how to conserve them. Section 10 gives us a much broader authority for addressing those kinds of impacts and concerns.

Throughout this process, all documents will be made available through our website that are documents that we would use for reviewing this HCP, but also any documents that are actually a product of any decision-making process will also be available there, as well.

At this time I would like to introduce Bruce Johnson from Stantec. He is the consultant who has been hired by the applicants to help prepare the habitat conservation plan and he is going to describe the project in a little more detail at this time.

Bruce?

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you.

Good evening, everyone. Can everybody hear me

1.3

okay? Okay.

Yeah, as Ken noted, I'm going to just try to go through the basic elements of the plan. We're not going to obviously in this time frame get into any great detail, but we'll start with this, this map that some of you have seen on websites and perhaps in the media, just to make sure that everybody is well-acquainted with the area that we're talking about. Whoops, we will go to the laser pointer.

This is the City of Naples here in the lower left. The Town of Immokalee is in the center of the urban area. We have the outlines of the county boundary here; and most of what is filled in is part of what is known within Collier County as the rural land stewardship area, approximately 196,000 acres total.

We do have in light green many of the public conservation lands in existence: Picayune and Fakahatchee Strand State Preserve, the Florida Panther National Wildlife Refuge, Big Cypress National Preserve, Dinner Island, Okaloacoochee Slough, and the Corkscrew Regional Watershed.

Just to help guide everybody through this, I'd like to just go down through this map legend because some of these categories may not be

intuitive for folks who are first looking at this thing, and I'd like to define those a little bit for you.

So the first element on the map is -- in dark green, is what is called the Preservation and Plan-Wide Activities category; and that, as you can see, running up the Camp Key Strand, the Okaloacoochee Slough and down near the Panther Refuge.

Under the plan as it's been proposed in the first draft, about 107,000 acres of this will be preserved permanently. I've seen some -- some notes in various places about the issues of scale. And just to give you a sense of what 107,000 acres means, that's 167 square miles. Okay? It's a lot of land. This is a big area.

The Panther Refuge is a good -- good sort of reckoning point. That conservation action occurred 30 years ago, I believe in 1986, and that -- the refuge is 26,400 acres. This area that would be put in preservation is four times that amount of land area.

Okay. So what happens in these -- in these preservation areas?

Well, first of all, in terms of function, they

provide extensive habitat for the panther and the other listed species that are covered under this plan. Just as importantly, they provide regional linkages that allow large mammals like the panther and the Florida black bear to move up and down through these areas; and it actually links these public lands, you'll notice, by design in a way that allows the panthers to disperse and to disperse up into the -- what is known as the dispersal zone south of the Caloosahatchee River.

The other notable thing about this map is that the preservation areas conserve the regional quality, the Camp Key Strand and the Okaloacoochee Slough, that run down through here.

These regional flow-ways are -- are important. Everything in Florida is driven by hydrology, and these have had -- been impacted in the past by historical events. And it provides an opportunity to actually preserve and improve the flow-way function.

Okay. So what -- what goes on here now and what -- what will be allowed to go on under the plan?

Well, for just about a century the activities out here have consisted of typical rural

activities; row crop cultivation, citrus, ranching, forestry and silviculture. Largely unknown to the public, the property owners do a very, very good job of maintaining their land and beating back the Brazilian pepper and melaleuca and other exotic plant species that can degrade the value of the land ecologically. Also out in this area there is oil and gas exploration and production, which has been occurring more or less on an ongoing basis since the 1940s.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Moving down to the next item on the legend in orange, the "covered activities," these are the areas that the incidental take permit would cover. Geographically they're the areas, and the okay? permit covers various activities under that. these areas are largely within the areas that have already been historically cleared. A lot of them are existing agricultural fields right now or citrus groves or pastures or other disturbed land The majority of the land cover in these are uses. not native land cover. There may be remnants of cypress domes and other things. But by and large, it is a man -- human-altered landscape.

Under the plan, the covered activities will be limited to a total area of 45,000 acres. Now, I've

seen some things out there that indicate a little bit of confusion, and I understand why.

what you see in that color, in that dark yellowish-orange color, is actually 49,848 acres delineated. Okay? It's delineated as an envelope within which we can tell the Fish and Wildlife Service the activities are going to occur somewhere within this envelope. The activities themselves will be limited under the authorization to a total of 45,000 acres, so what will end up -- happen at the end of the plan is, wherever these activities end up occurring there will only be 45,000 acres of them, and the remaining 4,848 acres will go back into preservation. Okay? So that will be added back in.

It provides property owners some flexibility.

It's difficult to say in 2016 where exactly you

might want to place something in 2062. And it is a

50-year time frame that we're talking about.

The ITP would cover activities generally associated with residential and commercial development and earth mining. I'll actually have another slide here in a moment that we'll go through. But basically the activities occurring at Ave Maria in terms of mixed use development, having

2.5

a mix of residential, commercial, institutional facilities and whatnot, storm water management, that's the sort of thing we're talking about.

It may be difficult to see here, but you can refer to your handouts. There are four small areas in total comprising 1,961 acres that are listed as very low density use. These are areas that the applicants own where they're talking about putting in things like a low density residence or a hunting camp or a fish -- fish camp or a lodge. The maximum density allowed under this would be one dwelling unit per 50 acres. That's the maximum density.

So at the end of the day, the intensity of use is so low that listed species, the panther and other species out there, wildlife can still utilize the property.

One category that's a little hard to follow is up here in the northeast corner of the area. That's what's called "base zoning" on the map. That is the Half Circle L Ranch, the portion of the ranch that's in the open, rural land stewardship category. That means it is potentially developable.

The owners are applicants under the ITP. It is

2,431 acres and the current zoning on it, as elsewhere within the entire rural land stewardship area, is one dwelling unit per five acres, the same, as I recall, that exists in Golden Gate.

At the end of the day, in the first draft of the HCP, we designated this area, but we neither called it covered activities nor preservation, because the ranch is currently on the market for sale and its status going into the future is somewhat uncertain. However, we do expect that that will be resolved by the time we get through the HCP and ITP process, so we will deal with it at that point.

If that were to be developed, it would count towards the 45,000-acre total. And if not, it would count towards the preservation.

There are two areas on the map, one here and one here. This is the Hogan Island Quarry and this is the Immokalee sand mine. These two areas are not part of the HCP. They are projects that were -- they were -- their federal permitting process was initiated prior to the HCP, so they were already entered into the federal permitting process and had already initiated Section 7 consultation under the Endangered Species Act.

2.5

Therefore, they're not part of the HCP in any way, other than that they are geographically collocated.

And one last category here is eligible for inclusion in the HCP. This is private property that is not owned by the applicants. The applicants could only submit their own property into the HCP. They can't grab somebody else's property to do it. But, similar to some HCPs out west, the property owners are including a mechanism that we've entitled "certificates of inclusion" that we -- you know, the applicants do not want to preclude the possibility that some property owners who are not currently part of the HCP, they didn't want to preclude them from joining in at has later date. So if a property owner within this area would like to join into the HCP, they have that mechanism in place.

We'd like to be clear. Adding any lands into the HCP from other property owners, it would not change the 45,000-acre limit, nor would it result in any increased take or increased request for take. It's just that we would have more land within the HCP.

Okay. So in terms of federally-listed species, most of you are familiar with the species that are

covered. You'll notice that most of these are threatened species -- well, actually, it's about an even mix.

I would like to say that when we first came up with the list of species to be covered, it was done in a very conservative way. We looked at anything that could occur within this area that's federally listed.

A good example of that is the red-cockaded woodpecker. The nearest known clusters are seven miles from this area. So we don't have any record that there are RCWs within the land -- within these lands right now. But the property owners wanted to be careful to make sure that they would be covered for take if some dispersal events occurred and there were areas recolonized by RCW, that they would be covered.

You'll notice at the bottom the gopher tortoise is there, which is a candidate species for listing, meaning sufficient information has been found to list it. It is just not beneficially listed yet.

And the eastern diamondback rattlesnake is currently under review by the Service; but, again, if it has the potential to be listed, the property owners, the applicants, wanted to seek incidental

take coverage for it.

2.5

The HCP also covers six state-listed species.

We're very fortunate. The FWC in the last few years has made a shift from individual species to more landscape scale conservation. They did biological status reviews for I think 60 species within the state. And their -- their current efforts with their imperiled species management plans are very similar in essence to what an HCP does. So seeing as the property owners were covering -- protecting so much area and because these species exist there and there is a slight potential that they could be up-listed in the future, they're also covered under the plan.

The actions covered by the ITP -- again, this occurs -- this relates to those orange areas in the map. We're looking at development, again, typical mix of uses within these developments that would occur; earth mining, whether it's a standalone activity or a precursor to a later mixed use development. Those are the activities that the applicants are seeking incidental take coverage for.

And, finally, just to review the major elements. It will preserve 107,000 acres out of

the 152,000 acres of contiguous land. The preservation and plan-wide activities that have occurred in the past and are occurring right now will continue into the future, but there will be permanent protection for these areas.

There will be management plans in place. The property owners are already managing the lands well. There are going to be binding agreements on how the lands need to be managed in terms of success criteria.

There will be mitigation and monitoring activities to make sure the plan is being carried out in accordance with the conditions in the incidental take permit.

The preservation of the existing panther movement corridors, as I showed you in the map, will be there.

And there will be close coordination between the HCP and the Florida Panther Protection Plan, which is a coalition of property owners and nongovernmental organizations.

There are two basic funding mechanisms associated with the HCP. The first is just a standard generation of revenues from things like developer's fees and other mechanisms that will

1.3

help fund the HCP activities themselves; but aside from that, the Florida Panther Protection Plan will establish what is known as the Marinelli Fund. and that money will be generated by the generation and transfer of panther habitat units. If you're not familiar with that, I'm not going to go into detail tonight; but they can be measured and we can associate a cost with them. And those -- the Marinelli Fund will cover any number of activities related to panther conservation, which may include habitat acquisition, management, restoration, provision of wildlife crossings at key locations where there's preserved land on either side, and all the sorts of things we'd like to see for overall panther conservation.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MR. McDONALD: So to kind of run into a little more detail with what an environmental impact statement is, before we wrap this up and get you guys up here to speak, it is a document that is produced by all federal agencies to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act. Even the Department of Defense does them.

An EIS is prepared to analyze and disclose the effects of any proposed action to the public, so

that there's no surprises about what we're doing and the effect it will have on you. It will consider short-term, medium-term, long-term effects, direct and indirect and cumulative effects as well. And we will look at this all from the perspective of each individual alternative that we ultimately select to study. And it incorporates agency and public comments regarding the scope of the alternatives that we study.

2.5

Now, the analysis itself is -- is pretty exhausting. We don't just focus on the effect to the listed species when we are preparing an environmental impact statement. We -- we look rather globally. We -- we ask what are the effects on geology and soils, what are the effects of implementing any alternative; HCP, no HCP, HCP modifications on water resources. You know, what would the effect on the aquifer be if you added this number of people to the area.

Air quality, from increased traffic or construction activities. Cultural resources, archeological sites, visual resources. We even analyze the effect that this will have on your view. Socioeconomics and environmental justice, how does it change the labor market and how this

will affect the incomes of the people in the affected area.

2.5

Transportation, we will look at how it -- the roads may evolve over time to accommodate this development that's proposed, as well as how ecological communities will respond to all of these changes.

General wildlife, including game species.

We'll also look at wildlife habitat linkages and corridors, listed species, candidate species, migratory birds even, under NEPA. And, finally, we will look at how this area will be affected by climate change and how each of the different alternatives may change that response to climate change over time.

So the environmental impact statement process is actually quite exhausting. As you can see, we are only at the beginning. We are nowhere near a decision yet.

After this comment period is closed, we will incorporate your comments. We will form alternatives to study. As I mentioned before, we will develop and publish a draft environmental impact statement. We will have another public hearing, we'll all be back here together again, and

I very much look forward to hearing what you guys have to say after we've studied what you're going to say tonight and if we did well.

We will incorporate those comments, again, just like we're going to incorporate your comments tonight, develop and publish a final EIS. There will be a notice period. We'll let you know it's coming. And then the Fish and Wildlife Service will record a decision.

So tonight, what is it that we're really after? Why did we ask you to be here?

Well, what we're looking for is the breadth, the scope. We're asking you, basically, what do you want us to study? And could you provide us with information that would aid in that study? And, importantly, what we study has to be concentrated on the issues that are truly significant to the action, okay, in question, rather than amassing needless detail; which goes to the heart of: How will your comments be used in what will we consider a useful comment that contributes to our ability to conduct this study?

They raise significant issues, issues that are important to everyone in this room, everyone that's in the affected area, people nationally. It could

1 2

2.5

be an issue of disagreement/debate with the data that we have in our -- at our disposal and methods that we have used in the past.

Significant issues are those issues related to potentially significant effects. But how will your comments be useful in analyzing it? We will really focus on the comments that have a cause-and-effect relationship, that describe how implementation of this HCP or the adoption of the incidental take permit might change any of those factors that we're studying.

And the comments have to be relative -relative to what it is we're studying. We're
studying a habitat conservation plan, and the
comments really need to be directed toward it.
Other issues, not so much.

And issues that have not already been decided by law or decided, you know, through the various courts, regulation, or any previous decisions. In other words, we're not going to relitigate anything through this study. We're studying. Where, if you have an issue to bring up with -- with the law, we're -- we're not lawyers. Okay?

And the comments that you submit that we will consider the most useful will be those that we can

incorporate into a scientific study. Okay? Which is going to be empirically done. And it's not going to be a process of opinion. It's not going to be a process of gathering opinion and understanding opinion.

what we really need to know concretely is how this action will affect the environment, and then, after we have done that analysis, we can all have an opinion about it at that time.

We will use this scoping period to develop alternatives.

We have three generalized alternatives here.

One would be to reject the HCP and no action. One could be adopt the HCP as it is written. And one that could give rise to many other alternatives is adopt the HCP with some modifications. And we can use the information you provide tonight to identify what those modifications might be.

We have already identified two preliminary issues that are obviously something of high public visibility and interest.

One, of course, we will be looking at how the impact of habitat loss and fragmentation will affect the survival or recovery of the Florida panther. We will broad -- give it a broad brush

here in the EIS, but we will also revisit it again when we prepare the biological opinion. And the impact of changing traffic patterns on the Florida panther's survivorship.

But to understand that in detail, we can have some more conversations, but understand the

some more conversations, but understand the applicants would not be the ones widening the roads. That would be a county decision. Right? So we can forecast what that might be in the future, what the county might do in the future, but we really are going to be focusing more on the consequences of the applicants' decisions and actions with the implementation of this HCP.

And I've gone backwards when I should go forwards.

So, submitting -- comment submittal options.

Right? The fax number, please check our website

for the correct number. We are updating it. The

fax number is incorrect. If you have the means of

writing it down tonight, 772-562-4288 is the

correct number. Just had an oopsie with that.

UNIDENTIFIED PARTICIPANT: Can you repeat that one more time?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, 772-562-4288.

If you're also like me and you like to use a

typewriter and send it by fax, we will receive it that way.

You can also mail. If you're even more old school and you enjoy putting stamps on things, you can mail it to us and we will receive it that way as well.

My little box is already starting to fill and I'm genuinely excited and looking forward to reading all those.

And you can also e-mail comments to this e-mail address: Comments@eastcollierhcp@fws.gov. I will leave this slide here just for a moment in case someone did not get a flier and is furiously trying to write that down before they leave.

Strategic pause, and moving on.

Okay. So, timeline. How long is this process going to take while folks are asking it? Broadly, we're kind of building in a plan for about two years to do these studies and to make a decision. We're two years away from making a decision, in other words.

The scoping period and alternative generation, and the scoping period ends April 25th. Between April and June we will be looking at your comments, we will be coming up with alternatives to the

proposed action for the purpose of the studies that we're going to perform.

We're looking at about a year from that time to actually publish a draft EIS for your review and comment, and then we're hoping that by July of next year we can all get back together again and maybe next time we'll bring food, coffee. Yes, beverages. That would be really good. In July we're going to need it. It's going to be pretty hot out.

We will have that final EIS back out by December of 2017, and we will have a record decision hopefully by -- ambitiously, by January 2018.

All dates are tentative, they can change as we bounce chapters back and forth and argue amongst ourselves about what we're doing, because that's what scientists do best.

And at that time, if everything has aligned and the stars are in the right place in the sky, the Fish and Wildlife Service may issue permits for an incidental take of endangered and threatened wildlife species. And, again, I emphasize an incidental take as defined by the ESA is a take that's incidental to; not the purpose of the

carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity.

And they -- the incidental take permit wi

And they -- the incidental take permit will protect an applicant who receives it, as long as they are following all other laws. Okay?

So, as we've mentioned previously, we put -threw this up here as a reminder. Two minutes per
speaker. No yielding the floor to the next person
or your friends. Please be quiet and respectful
while others are speaking. And all comments are
being recorded, and actually they're live on the
Internet right now, so, you know, folks in Wichita
are listening to this. So keep that in mind. Your
family is going to hear what you say, so speak well
and enunciate.

With that said, I will stop talking and thank you all for patiently sitting through this, and we will get you guys up here to speak.

MS. HINZMAN: They're being kind and not making me stand for the entire time.

So the process is going to be we're going to call two names, so we'll have one person at the microphone and then the second person will be on deck.

Is this breaking in and out?
UNIDENTIFIED PARTICIPANT: Yes.

2.5

MS. HINZMAN: Do I need to -- maybe that's it. 1 2 What's it doing? Just some interference. MR. MCDONALD: 3 So the first -- this is not MS. HINZMAN: 4 working well. 5 The first name is Amber Crooks, and on deck 6 will be Elise Bennett. 7 When you come to the microphone, please 8 remember to state and spell your name. And if you 9 are part of an organization and representing the 10 organization, you can please state the 11 organization. If you'd like to adjust the 12 microphone, there's a little thumb screw on the 13 right-hand side that you can lift it up and down 14 quickly so you don't have to -- all right. 15 16 So -- and as a reminder, Tia will hold up a card for you at 60 seconds and 30 seconds, and I do 17 have a hook back here. We will pull you off at two 18 minutes. And we have a little bell. 19 MS. CROOKS: Thank you. Thank you so much. 20 I'm Amber Crooks, and that's spelled A-M-B-E-R, 21 C-R-O-O-K-S. Here tonight on behalf of the 22 23 Conservancy of Southwest Florida and our over 6,000 24 supporters.

2.5

The Conservancy objects to the current draft

HCP since, as proposed, it does not minimize impacts to habitats that have been deemed essential to the survival of the Florida panther in the wild called the primary zone. This is in direct conflict with the Florida Panther Recovery Plan and best available science, which advocate no further

We ask that you consider an alternative, avoiding the primary zone, locating development in the less sensitive secondary zone instead. This is supported by top panther biologists and would allow nearly the same amount of development with far less impact to wildlife.

Other factors that must be reviewed in the environmental impact statement include: Loss of panther prey base, and lands currently in agriculture support a substantial number of deer per acre. Reduced fire management on existing neighboring public lands; increased human/wildlife conflicts; impacts to other listed species but for which the HCP lacks necessary information; reduction in productive agricultural lands and its impact not just on the economy and those who rely on agricultural jobs for their livelihood, but, of course, on wildlife that can thrive on those

(239) 334-6545

2.5

loss.

landscapes; negative impacts for water quality in an area where many waters are already polluted; impacts to aquifer recharge, water supply and hydrology; existing local protections already applicable to large swaths of the proposed preserve, and effects of plan-wide activities on the value of the preserves; and quite possibly the largest factor, the roads.

As a direct result of the proposed HCP, there will be a need for over 100 miles of new or expanded roadways, and it would generate tens of thousands of additional daily trips in an area that is one of the most deadly for the Florida panther.

There are many areas that require further attention, review to the EIS, and we plan to submit a detailed comment letter.

Thank you for considering our comments tonight, and thank you all for being here tonight. Thanks so much.

MS. HINZMAN: I remind you, please, please, no applause. We want to keep everybody moving so that we're able to get everybody through.

UNIDENTIFIED PARTICIPANT: The mikes aren't working.

UNIDENTIFIED PARTICIPANT: As soon as you turn

2.5

that one on, that one, they all sort of go wonky. 1 Okay. Cheerleader voice is MS. HINZMAN: 2 coming. Are you ready? 3 All right. Next on deck is Dr. John Dwyer. 4 My name is Elise Bennett, and I'm MS. BENNETT: 5 here on behalf of the Center for Biological 6 Diversity. 7 Maybe she should turn this off. 8 MS. HINZMAN: UNIDENTIFIED PARTICIPANT: Can't hear. 9 MR. McDONALD: 10 Try now. Hello. My name is Elise Bennett, 11 MS. BENNETT: and I'm here on behalf of the Center for Biological 12 Diversity and our over 900,000 members. 13 I'm here mostly to express concern over the 14 fact that the HPC fails to identify and address all 15 of the impacts to the Florida panther and other 16 covered species, which have received less attention 17 than the panther. 18 First, we ask that when you review the 19 environmental impacts of the HCP, that you 20 carefully determine each proposed action within the 21 entire plan and study the unique impacts of each. 22 23 As the HCP is now, it lumps together actions and makes generalized conclusions about the impacts 24 that are oversimplified and incorrect; and a 2.5

detailed review of each action would rectify these issues.

Additionally, we request that you consider alternatives that avoid destroying the most exceptional habitat for both the panthers and the other listed species, and that that be based on scientific information of the species' need and presence rather than more subjective opinions of whether the land has been disturbed or used for agricultural purposes or whatnot.

We also ask that you closely reexamine the actual conservation value of the lands that are designated for preservation in light of the activities that are permitted on these lands. As described in the plan right now, these activities are too vague to properly assess them.

For instance, the term "recreation" could include hiking all the way to the use of off-road vehicles. Hard to compare those impacts. So we hope that you would look into more detail of those uses, as well as looking at how those might be harmful to species, particularly those covered that are not the panther, because they have not received as much attention.

we also ask that you consider the impacts of

the expanded network of roads and increasing traffic, particularly with regard to road mortality, habitat fragmentation, and genetic isolation, specifically for snakes and the gopher tortoise.

And lastly, we ask that you consider the impacts of the increased interface between urban and natural areas that will result because of this plan, specifically the feasibility of prescribed burns, increased human/wildlife contact, increased human persecution of species that are deemed a nuisance species, and increased pollution from storm water pesticides and fertilizers.

Thank you very much for your time.

MS. HINZMAN: Next on deck, Dr. Karen Dwyer.

DR. JOHN DWYER: My name is Dr. John Dwyer. I'm with the Stone Crab Alliance. The spelling is J-O-H-N, D-W-Y-E-R.

So, the panther is critically endangered, with only about 180 still living, probably fewer, since 16 have already died on our roads since January.

How much is a panther worth? According to Fish and Wildlife, they're becoming a nuisance to Floridians who live around them. Are they worse than worthless or too unique, wild and sacred to

take even more of their habitat with this 50-year plan?

Panther populations are straining and currently exceeding the tolerance of landowners, according to the rancher, Mrs. Priddy, after having lost ten calves over several years.

How much is a calf worth? Easier to determine.

Lean slaughter cows are going for seventy-four

bucks.

The plan's goal is to avoid endless litigation by giving the landowners permission to use their property to make money, even though it will impact the panthers, the wood storks, red-cockaded woodpeckers, Florida scrub jays, crested caracaras, Everglades snail kites, the little blue herons, the bonneted bats, the diamondbacks and indigos and the fox squirrels and the gopher tortoises and the burrowing owls and the sandhill cranes, kestrels and tricolored herons.

The word "impact" worries me because it has some secret consequences in store for the flora and fauna we are all concerned about. It means to destroy their habitat and cull them. It means more roads, houses, ruined water, poisonous industry, Coney Island-like beaches.

1.3

Owners of large properties that have wild and 1 sacred places within them have a commensurately 2 large responsibility to good stewardship. 3 Reject the plan. 4 Next on deck -- please, no MS. HINZMAN: 5 clapping and loud noises. Thank you. 6 Megan Sorlo -- or Sorbo, sorry. 7 The little thumb screw on the right-hand side 8 will help you. There you go. 9 DR. KAREN DWYER: Karen Dwyer, K-A-R-E-N. 10 Dwyer, D-W-Y-E-R. 11 The plan would do more harm than good. 12 Reject it, because it would authorize a take 1.3 permit for eight federally-listed species on 14 45,000 acres of development, including land where 15 the Florida panther is making its last stand. 16 Reject it, because it would create new roads 17 leading to more road kills and habitat 18 fragmentation. 19 Reject it, because it would put additional 20 strains on local water supplies. 21 Reject it, because it would replace farm fields 22 with mining and urban development, thereby taking 23 away jobs from Immokalee farm workers. 24 Reject it, because it would continue or 2.5

intensify oil operations, including fracking, on the 107,000-acre preserve. This would deplete and contaminate water supplies and undermine mitigation.

Reject it, because the 50-year duration would lock in terms with a no-surprise clause.

Reject it, because the panther corridors are not wide enough or reforested enough to make them usable, and the underpasses will not sufficiently offset road kills.

Reject it, because it does not preserve 107,000 acres. These lands are already protected by the local Rural Lands Stewardship Program. No additional preservation is being offered.

The alternative is simple. Choose avoidance and minimization, which means don't build in primary panther habitat. Instead, develop the secondary zone, eliminate no-build roadways, improve corridors, minimize oil operations.

To conclude, we don't want a city the size of New York rising to the east of us, depleting our water supplies, crowding our roads, jeopardizing our wildlife, and paving on our paradise. Don't green light a 50-year super permit to exploit land and harm wildlife. Choose the no action

1.3

alternative. 1 2 Thank you. Next on deck. Katrina Shadix. MS. HINZMAN: 3 Megan? You're up, hon. 4 MS. SORBO: Sorry. 5 MS. HINZMAN: That's all right. 6 Dr. Dwyer had a strong grip. 7 Spell your name for us. 8 MS. SORBO: Hi. My name is Megan Sorbo, 9 M-E-G-A-N, S-O-R-B-O. 10 I'll start my speech now. 11 I'm here to speak on behalf of native Hi. 12 imperiled species that cannot speak for themselves, 1.3 which is snail kites, wood storks, indigo snakes, 14 scrub jays, Florida panthers and more. 15 It is irresponsible and cruel to continue to 16 take away habitats that panthers desperately need 17 when we know more and more humans are going to be 18 infringing on their territory in coming years. 19 If some landowners in this area already think 2.0 21 that panthers are a nuisance, how exactly will taking even more land help? It seems to me it will 22 just lead to an increased desire of incidental 23 takes. 24 Furthermore, approving a policy for 50 years is 2.5

absolutely outrageous. It is unfair to all future 1 Floridians to inherit a plan for the benefit of a 2 very select few in our state today. 3 I deserve, and my generation deserves, the 4 opportunity to make policy decisions for ourselves 5 in coming years and not inherit today's 6 controversial plan without recourse. 7 If we are truly thinking 50 years into the 8 future, it should be to start saving every possible 9 acre of undeveloped land. 10 we all know how limited the remaining habitat 11 is for our Florida panthers. If for now nine 12 people are allowed to start to ultimately kill 13 animals like panthers on their land, it will not 14 take long for another panther to move in to claim 15 that territory. When will the killing stop once it 16 is started? 17

I implore you to focus on completely protecting, not destroying, as much habitat for our panthers as possible.

Thank you very much.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MS. HINZMAN: Next on deck is Ruth McGregor.

MS. SHADIX: Katrina Shadix. Katrina like the hurricane, S-H-A-D-I-X.

Thank you for letting me speak tonight in

defense of our state icon, the nearly extinct
Florida panther.

2.5

Tonight you will hear from many people in opposition to allowing the incidental taking of panthers because nearly 20 million Floridians are against it. And, by the way, incidental taking is more commonly referred to as killing.

Rules, regulations, and protections are put into place for a reason and should not be modified nor compromised for nine people wanting to further decimate our endangered wildlife and conservation areas. It is absolutely unconscionable to even entertain the thought of it, let alone allow their corrupt, self-conserving deadly agenda even to progress to the stage in which we find ourselves tonight.

Many are here to represent the panthers with scientific data, inarguable facts, analytical minds and compassionate hearts, but I am here to represent the cattle ranchers, the ethical cattle ranchers of Florida. And yes, they do exist.

My cousin is a cattle rancher that has never killed an animal for killing any of his cattle, because he has never lost any cattle. He has doggies and -- guard dogs on guard at all times.

He adamantly opposes killing panthers, bears, 1 2 wolves, and any other animal that preys on cattle because he knows there are billions of cows in the 3 world, but only 180 Florida panthers left in 4 existence. 5 He makes \$1,500 for each calf he sells and 6 thinks very little of the ranchers that demand to 7 be reimbursed by the state. He says that's the 8 cost of doing cattle business, and for no one to be fooled into thinking panther kills affect rancher 10

profits, because, as he so eloquently puts it,

business is booming.

I'd like to close with a favorite quote. "I've learned that you shouldn't go through life with catcher's mitts on both hands. You need to be able to throw something back."

These nine people wanting to kill panthers need to learn this as well, because extinction is forever.

Please reject the HCP.

MS. HINZMAN: Please refrain. Thank you.

Tamara Paquette is on next.

MS. McGREGOR: Good evening. Ruth McGregor, R-U-T-H, M-C-G-R-E-G-O-R. Ruth McGregor, with the Sierra Club, Calusa Group. We cover Collier,

2425

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Glades, Hendry and Lee Counties. Speaking on behalf of 1,900 plus members.

I can't believe we're discussing a plan that would develop 45,000 acres of land into urban areas roughly the size of Washington, D.C. --

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, could you just slow down a little bit?

MS. McGREGOR: -- into urban areas roughly the size of Washington, D.C., while devastating the Florida panther and seven other protected species; the scrub jay, caracara, wood stork, red-cockaded woodpecker, snail kite, indigo snake, and bonneted bat.

Increasing traffic rates on Corkscrew Road by 23.5 times should scare the heck out of everyone. It's already a congested mess due to rampant overdevelopment. Traffic-related Panther deaths and hurricane evacuation times are going to rise, both huge concerns.

Some public officials -- you know who you are -- seem happy to declare war on defenseless wildlife, panthers, bears, you name it. We really just have an overall anti-wildlife agenda being forced on our state.

Florida's wildlife attracts people from around

1.3

the world, fueling our tourism industry, economy.

The creatures are just trying to survive as they
have for thousands of years before we came along.

The impacts reach far beyond wildlife.

Residential runoff, degradation of water resources and loss of public and agricultural lands are grave concerns as well. Also, oil and gas drilling and exploration and/or fracking allowed in preserves.

Seriously?

why must development be inevitable? Instead of proposing development that will detrimentally affect wildlife, natural resources and quality of life in the area, how about a moratorium on new development and of environmentally sensitive lands? Someone said checkerboards and preserves means death for the panther. Let's be honest. Development is what means death for the panther. Let's not sugarcoat this ridiculous plan.

The lustful desire to develop 45,000 acres in prime Florida panther habitat is absurd. Instead, consider what is good for our state and do something different. Dedicate the 45,000 acres of conservation land. That would be something to apply, not consent to building, on how to further destroy our environment and wildlife.

It has been said we don't have the facts yet. 1 2 well, that may be true. The facts we do have are scary and disturbing. 3 I urge you to deny application -- application 4 for permitting under this plan. We should find 5 ways to conserve and preserve Florida's natural 6 beauty, not permit more waste to destroy it. 7 Would you like this? 8 MS. HINZMAN: Next is Nancy Koerner. Thank you 9 for putting the pronunciation on. 10 MS. PAQUETTE: And I've got to follow that? 11 My name is Tamara Paquette, T-A-M-A-R-A, 12 P-A-Q-U-E-T-T-E. 13 Bruce from Stantec said that he's not going to 14 go into any great detail, but isn't the devil in 15 the details when it comes to stuff like this? 16 He'd also said that the activities would afford 17 the property owners flexibility in the future, such 18 as earth mining. That means fracking, ladies and 19 gentlemen, fracking. 20 I also dispute that this land has been 21 historically cleared to do what they want to do. 22 23 It really hasn't. And I just want to make sure that everybody knows that killing is not 24

2.5

conservation. So, I love pictures. It's all about

show and tell, and I have -- I can even give you 1 2 copies of this if you like. MS. HINZMAN: You can leave that outside, if 3 you'd like. 4 MS. PAQUETTE: 5 Okay. I've asked that we don't have MS. HINZMAN: 6 signs, placards, et cetera, please. 7 MS. PAQUETTE: Then can I just tell you what it 8 says? 9 MS. HINZMAN: Absolutely. 10 11 MS. PAQUETTE: So the map that they have up there on display is not accurate. And I'll be 12 happy to provide it to you. Because Wilson Miller 13 made a map which was designed to show where all the 14 property owners were. And every little property 15 owner wants to have their own little city there. 16 So I'm telling you, you're going to turn our 17 water into Flint, Michigan, our land into east 18 coast, and the air is going to become Las Vegas, 19 Los Angeles. And we just don't want that in 20 Collier County. Because I've been here since 1978, 21 and I think my grandkids deserve to have a 22 23 beautiful place to live just like I did. 24 Thank you. 2.5 MS. HINZMAN: Next is Gaylene Vasturo.

Vasaturo. Sorry I butchered your name. Sorry about that.

MS. KOERNER: Nancy Koerner, N-A-N-C-Y, K-O-E-R-N-E-R.

Take a walk through the Everglades and the birds go silent. This is already impact. The rapid loss of species we are seeing today is estimated by experts to be a thousand to ten thousand times higher than the natural extinction rate. These experts calculate that between .01 and point -- and one percent of all species will become extinct each year. The mean estimate puts that at about 55,000 extinctions each year.

So, what is a scrub jay here and a word stork there and a couple of panthers and a few snail kites? No big deal? Really? There's no replacing our bio-diversity, because when it's gone, it's gone.

There is no such thing as incidental take. It is called collateral damage. Whoops, I killed it, sorry. Animal casualties, while engaging in lawful but immoral destruction in an urban/industrial war zone, that's what we're talking about.

And, once again, we cautiously speak about preservation, and it's a sure indication that, once

2.5

again, it's all about oil and gas, isn't it?

Until about 120 years ago humans lived on the light and power generated by the cycle of the sun. Our lives were dawn to dusk and our energy needs were self-regulating, but when we started raping the other for coal, gas and oil, we started borrowing from the stores of the ancient sunlight underground and undermining that delicate balance.

Our energy -- our energy needs are no longer self-sustaining and we begin to mortgage our own future. More development, more drilling, the unthinkable practice of fracking to squeeze the last drop out of every fractured nook and cranny. This insane thievery, this plundering of resources, continues to compromise and skew the balance.

Fifty years? By then we'll be under water by the abuses levied against our planet.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is supposed to be protecting our fish and wildlife, not seeking permission to make further inroads into the destruction of our sensitive ecosystem; or should I say ego system?

Mankind thinks that somehow we are apart from nature, above and other than; and this is not the case.

Big Cypress National Preserve should remain a preserve, and the Florida Panther National Wildlife Refuge should remain a refuge. These animals are dying to be left alone. They are dying to be left alone.

I object to the proposed eastern habitat plan.

This is not a conservation plan. It's an excuse for destruction. Reject the HCP.

Thank you.

MS. HINZMAN: Emily Ruff.

MS. VASATURO: Can you hear me? Gaylene Vasaturo, G-A-Y-L-E-N-E, V-A-S-A-T-U-R-O.

I'm a Collier County resident.

The Eastern Collier Habitat Conservation Plan fails to avoid, minimize, and mitigate the impact of the proposed development on the panther and covered species, and the plan threatens both the survival and the recovery of the panther.

I'm submitting written comments, so I'm just going to highlight a few points today.

First, the habitat conservation plan proposes residential and commercial development of 22,000 to 24,000 acres of primary panther zone. Panther experts have identified the primary panther zone as land essential to the long-term viability of the

panther.

1.3

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in its 2008 panther recovery plan said that conservation efforts need to focus on maintaining the primary zone.

Please require revision of the habitat conservation plan to direct development away from the panther primary zone.

The -- second, the habitat conservation plan fails to provide adequate wildlife corridors for panthers to move among the public lands and, thus, reduces the likelihood of the survival and recovery of the panther.

The rural lands stewardship area is located between several public lands. Panthers and other wildlife can now move -- have the ability to move between these areas. According to panther experts, maintaining connectivity within and among these areas is essential to the long-term viability of the panther.

Please require revision of the habitat conservation plan to provide adequate wildlife corridors.

And, finally, the landowners have proposed over a hundred miles of new and expanded roads as

necessary to support their proposed development of 45,000 acres. The habitat conservation plan should consider the effects of the road network in its analysis, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service must consider the indirect effects of the road network in its jeopardy analysis.

Thank you.

MS. HINZMAN: Up on deck is Kay Herring.

MS. RUFF: Emily Ruff, E-M-I-L-Y, R-U-F-F.

I am a resident of Winter Park, Florida, about four hours to the north. Lifelong Floridian. I've been studying Florida ecology for the last three decades. And I also represent tonight the Florida School of Holistic Living, a nongovernmental organization, with a statewide network of about 20,000 members.

The bottom line of my comments tonight is I believe that the HCP should be rejected in its current form; that it fails to meet multiple facets of the Section 10-A criteria, especially for a permit within the State of Florida of such geographic size and chronological scope. It neither minimizes nor mitigates the impact of its incidental take to the maximum extent practicable, nor does it protect the likelihood that -- or,

rather, does it reduce the likelihood of the survival -- it does reduce the likelihood, excuse me, of the survival and recovery of the fragile species listed within.

The panther review team, the six expert panther biologists that were hired by the Florida Protection Program, reported in 2009 a recommendation to move development out of the sensitive primary zones and into secondary habitat. That does not currently exist in the HCP and would be a real mandate in order to protect that species.

The currently listed corridors are far too narrow to be functional for panthers; and especially to note in the north and south parts of that map, there are virtually no corridors in existence.

Much of the hundred thousand acres that is prepared to be set aside for conservation, as many speakers have already mentioned, is currently protected by state and local measurements, so effectively allows double-dipping of these mitigation credits and panther habitat units.

The allowance of crop cultivation and oil drilling, among other activities, on the said preserved lands devalues it ecologically, making it

1.3

unusable for panther and other protected species habitat.

respond to any issues in the first few years of development should threatened species decline in their population or fail to meet recovery goals.

As stated, the network of new roads to be added or expanded will certainly threaten the panther, but also will cost local taxpayers, recent estimates showing up to \$2 billion for this network of roads that will bring 60,000 visits daily to some of these areas.

In addition, I want to point out that the Florida Wild -- or the Florida Fish and Wildlife Commission panther policy that was recently revised as of the fall of 2015 talks a lot about reducing extended panther habitat recovery area, which is in direct contradiction to this, so I want to bring that up, that Fish and Wildlife should definitely be re-reviewing that new panther policy, as it concurrently reduces the HCP.

Thank you.

MS. HINZMAN: Janine Jain.

Ma'am, you're up next. Janine Jain is on deck.

And I did not mention that if you don't want to

stand in the aisle and wait, we do have a chair reserved here for anyone who would like to sit.

MS. HERRING: Hi. My name is Kay Herring, and I'm Native American. And I find the development of the land in question to be quite appalling.

I think as a Native American who -- whose people were once called savages, I believe they did understand and they practiced the law of the land, which is living in harmony with nature.

I believe the nine rich landowners are with total lack of respect of the land. They want to destroy anything and everything that gets in their way, just as they did the Native Americans.

Incidental killing of an already endangered creature I believe is vile and evil.

And greed. Greed is an addiction where you lose your very soul, and greed is the pursuit of things one already has an excess of and not wanting to use the excess to help mankind or its creatures in any way other than benefiting or bettering themselves.

Our wilderness is a vast region of land considered valuable as a get-away by humans. It is valuable as an ecotourism use and profits. It is a place largely ruled by nonhumans, the animals, a

1.3

place without human development, but a place that 1 humans can visit. 2 For those that cry over their lost livestock, 3 if you take a look at the state's hunting list, 4 almost every woodland creature is on the kill list. 5 And many killed animals are never placed on 6 anyone's table. This is food needed for the 7 panther, the bear, and every other creature of the 8 wilderness. 9 we need -- instead of bowing down to the greed 10 of these nine landowners, we need to separate 11 ourselves and conserve the land that belongs to the 12 citizens. That's it. 1.3 Next in line is Nicole Anastos. MS. HTN7MAN: 14 MS. JAIN: I'm Jeanne Jain, J-E-A-N-N-E, 15 J-A-I-N, Southwest Florida Animal Advocacy. 16 Good evening. Thank you for the opportunity to 17 speak. 18 "The wildlife and its habitat cannot speak, so 19 we must and we will." Theodore Roosevelt. 20 We are their voice and we want to be heard. 21 A new town in the middle of prime panther 22 Ten thousand homes? No more habitat 23 habitat? destruction. Not 45,000 acres, not one acre. 24 A scientific study, landscape analysis of adult 2.5

panther habitat published July 29, 2015, contends that panthers have already lost more habitat than federal and state officials think. The authors wrote, quote, "Because there is less panther habitat remaining than previously thought, we recommend that all remaining breeding habitat in South Florida should be maintained," unquote.

Panther Position Statement, 9-3-15, quote, "FWC will focus management efforts on maintaining the Southwest Florida Panther population at a sustainable level as supported by available habitat," unquote.

If all the remaining breeding habitat is essential and should be maintained, how can you even consider allowing landowners to remove 45,000 acres of that habitat?

A 50-years incidental take permit? That is simply preposterous.

There are other listed species to consider in addition to the panther, such as the threatened gopher tortoise. Last month WESH News reported that Corey Clark, a Melbourne developer, spent \$20,000 of his own money to find and relocate quite possibly 200 threatened gopher tortoises, even though he was not legally bound to do so, because

2.5

his company was grandfathered into an incidental 1 take permit that was issued for his property prior 2 to 2007. 3 If gopher tortoises are buried, it can take six 4 months to a year for them to slowly die of 5 starvation or suffocation. 6 we can only wonder if the nine applicants named 7 here would be willing to do the same to save rather 8 than take our endangered and threatened species. 9 We, the Southwest Florida Animal Advocates, 10 oppose approval of the Eastern Collier HCP. 11 MS. HINZMAN: On deck is Judy Dempsey. 12 MS. ANASTOS: Good evening. My name is Nicole 13 Anastos, N-I-C-O-L-E, A-N-A-S-T-O-S. And I can't 14 say any more than these people have spoke. 15 Greed, it's wrong. These -- this just cannot 16 happen. 17 I had a big thing to say, but I can't say 18 anything. I'm heartbroken. I hope you guys 19 realize that these guys said it all. They said it 2.0 all. 21 That's all. 22 Bonnie Michaels. 23 MS. HINZMAN: MS. DEMPSEY: Hi. My name is Judy Dempsey, 24 2.5 J-U-D-Y, D-E-M-P-S-E-Y.

It took over a hundred years for the developed area of Naples to grow into the residential area it is today. Why would we need to develop an area the size of New York to plan for growth in the next 50 years?

We are smarter now, aren't we? Can't we grow in a different manner? We don't need to take any more panther territory. We are supposed to develop in a manner that conserves water, protects and prevents climate change, protects endangered and threatened species.

This development does none of that.

People would be willing to live closer together if it means we won't kill off another species.

We need to change the way that we grow. Why are we still doing the same thing that we've done a hundred years ago? We're smarter now.

I have seen on -- in many occasions that people living in areas, expanding their homes out into areas where animals are already living, are unable to live with the animals there, and they're just killing them off, and that's what we'll continue to do.

We don't need to have -- I know that when you
were talking about what the development would look

1.3

like, that the areas on the outer edges would be people living on many acres. That does not help the animals that are living there. They cannot live in the same place that people are living.

So take those people, move them back, give that area to the animals, and move the people way back so we're not living so far apart. We don't need to live in the big house that we're living in today.

We need to change the way that we live. This is what we need to start thinking about. No more big houses, no more golf courses, no more places where we frack.

Thank you.

MS. HINZMAN: Michael Sief (Phonetic).

MS. MICHAELS: Bonnie Michaels, B-O-N-N-I-E; Michaels, M-I-C-H-A-E-L-S, representing Collier Citizens for Sustainability.

Landowners have rights, but so do the wildlife. They depend on us to exist.

The current plan doesn't give enough space in the primary panther territory. As mentioned, this year alone, 16 panthers have died. We can't imagine what will happen as more roads open up, traffic and people increase.

We only get one shot at this to do the right

thing and to modify the plan, so that future 1 development is much closer to the current urban 2 area where there is more infrastructure and will 3 allow more land for the panthers. 4 There have been so many good ideas tonight, and 5 I hope that all these good ideas will be used to 6 really strategize. 7 we know that the land is probably going to be 8 developed. But I believe, as so many here tonight, 9 that there are so many creative ways to make sure 10 that we can ensure some of the land is left for the 11 panthers and all of the wildlife. 12 Thank you. 13 Michael Sief. MS. HINZMAN: 14 MR. SIEF: I pass. 15 MS. HINZMAN: Okay. Thank you. 16 Russell Van Riper (phonetic). 17 MR. VAN RYPER: I pass. 18 MS. HINZMAN: Thank you. 19 Nancy Payton. And then after her, Clayton 20 walker. 21 22 MS. PAYTON: Nancy Payton, representing the 23 Florida Wildlife Federation. N-A-N-C-Y, P-A-Y-T-O-N. You also have my card. 24 2.5 Thank you.

I'm with the Florida Wildlife Federation, and the Florida Wildlife Federation is also a member or a partner in the Florida Panther Protection Program. We're supported -- supportive of the HCP, but with modifications.

Some of the issues that are keen to us are habitat related, I'll discuss tonight.

Frankly, we'd like you to think beyond primary and secondary zones. We'd like you to look at the entire area, the 150,000 acres, and choose what is the best habitat, what is the best configuration for not only panthers, but all the wildlife that's covered under this. Let's get beyond those rigid boundaries of primary and secondary zone and look holistically at what's best for all those species of wildlife.

We look for robust habitat linkages, and we prefer to say linkages rather than corridors, because people think of corridors and they think of hallways. We want functioning habitats.

And particularly the northwest connection, which is through secondary habitat, and it's a very important re-creation of a regional habitat corridor which will connect Lee County through Collier County, up through Hendry County, through

some private conservation lands that exist in Hendry County. So the connections need to be looked at regionally, particularly in that northwest corner.

We also have issues with the area that is west of the intersection of Oil Well Road and State Road 29. We think that area is very important for wildlife movement and wildlife should get first consideration over rooftops and houses.

Generous buffers between development and the conservation areas to deal with noise, light and sound, and other impositions on wildlife.

We also want good buffers for the wetlands.

And time is up, and I'll just say the Paul Marinelli Fund needs to be looked at closely to make sure it has enough money in there to do the long-term care that's necessary.

Thank you.

MS. HINZMAN: Next is Jillian Dempsey.

MR. WALKER: Clayton Walker, C-L-A-Y-T-O-N, W-A-L-K-E-R.

Something that hasn't really been addressed here is the fact that there already was a plan for this. The county more than a dozen years ago entered into a program called the RLSA, and all of

1.3

these landowners entered into this plan. And at the end of this plan, the engineering firm, or some portion of it that is working on this now, came up with a development figure of approximately 16,000 acres. Now this turns out to be so complicated in the manner in which it's figured that now they claim that this is instead a figure greater than 45,000 acres that they're allowed to develop. So if you merely brought them back down to the 16,000 that they themselves agreed to years ago, you already would solve a lot of the problem.

2.5

Furthermore, at least part of the problem is being caused by the fact that they have scattered to the furtherest corners of all their individual tracts of land instead of pushing themselves into more of a combined area somewhere so that the impact would not be near as great because of the huge amount of travel. And then on top of that, the impact financially wouldn't be near as great to the taxpayers in the community who are going to wind up funding the road networks, the school systems, and all the other services, because none of these are going to be built by these developers.

So at some point the premise that you even operated on of them having forty-five plus thousand

acres of developed land actually isn't part of the county standard that these people signed and was adopted going on five plus years ago.

MS. HINZMAN: Pass? Okay.

Bobbie Lee Davenport, and then Ralph Jiunta.

MS. DAVENPORT: Hello. Bobbie Lee Davenport; and that's B-O-B-B-I-E, L-E-E, D-A-V-E-N-P-O-R-T.

And I'm here today to represent Cypress Cove
Conservancy. It's a newly formed organization that
just started and we're specifically targeting land
purchased for listed and endangered species
habitat. You can find us on the Web if you haven't
heard of us.

I'm also a board member of the Responsible Growth Management Coalition and I'm here as a member of the Environmental Confederation of Southwest Florida.

So first I want to address the incidental take permit, and there's nothing incidental about the incidental take permit. Once that land is paved over and all the habitat is gone -- you know, you said that you weren't going to be looking to kill in the permit, that it would just be other things. But what happens when everything is bulldozed down? Of course, there's going to be plenty of kills.

The roads is a major issue with this because the roads haven't been addressed. So there's going to be roadways going through this development out -- it'll be a speedway. I live on Golden Gate Boulevard and it's a speedway. That's what's going to happen right through priority one panther habitat.

This is referred to as a conservation plan.

There's nothing about it that's a conservation

plan. This is just destruction and devastation.

So we are asking you to reject this plan. This is a very bad plan. It's absolutely ludicrous.

And I heard you mention hunting. So, you know, we have conservation lands, but you said something about hunting camps, too, so we're going to have roads, we're going to have hunting, we're going to have oil exploration going on, and this is just a travesty.

You asked what do we want you to study when you were up there talking. I think we should study the Endangered Species Act, because if it allows for all of this, it's a flawed plan. We have a serious problem here.

So I think that's about it. Everybody else pretty well covered everything. But please reject

the plan. It's a really bad plan.

Thank you.

1.3

MS. HINZMAN: Michele -- yes. Thank you.

MR. JIUNTA: Ralph Jiunta, R-A-L-P-H, J-I-U-N-T-A.

The HCP does not adequately address the unique habitat needs of the 15 other protected species besides the panther, such as the caracara and gopher tortoise. The HCP does not reflect the threat of extension to endangered plant species located within development zones.

I would also like to point out what may be seemingly obvious in the fact that we have to come together to decide if we should protect or destroy the planet that we have left after so much destruction has already passed in the years of industrial sprawl.

To me, it is indicative of a certain form of erroneous world view, that we have completely severed the roots of connection to what sustains us every single day.

Much like the language nature speaks, I'd like to give the rest of my time to the obvious truth that lies still in silence, because we all need to know what is right and harmonious.

(Pause for silence.) 1 2 Thank you. Shirley Harner. MS. HINZMAN: 3 I'm Michele Bumbier, MS. BUMBIER: Hello. 4 M-I-C-H-E-L-E, B-U-M-B-I-E-R. And I'm also 5 representing the Florida School of --6 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, the Florida? 7 MS. BUMBIER: Florida School of Holistic 8 Living. 9 Fellow Floridians, we all call the state home 10 for many reasons. To me, the enormous 11 bio-diversity has kept me calling this state home 12 for 20 plus years. 13 Florida consists of complex ecosystems that 14 have been compromised since urban sprawl began 15 many, many years ago. If the potential land 16 development of 150,000 plus acres in Collier County 17 passes, we would experience devastating effects 18 forever. 19 Collier County has a precious ecosystem where 20 most of the state's Florida panthers inhabit. 21 Among them are the other threatened and endangered 22 23 species like the caracara, bonneted bat, scrub jay, indigo snake, gopher tortoise, et cetera. 24

2.5

How could we allow such devastation to occur to

such an amazing state? 1 Can you measure pain? 2 If the panthers' territory is limited with this 3 proposed plan, they will begin to compete with each 4 other and ultimately become extinct with the aid of 5 the -- the take permit. 6 The nine landowners and land developers want to 7 encroach on the primary habitat of these animals, 8 but can't they use the tertiary areas? 9 This means that more cars, homes and human 10 activities will slowly but surely suffocate the 11 native habitat. 12 The land that would be set aside for 1.3 conservation, the hundred thousand acres, could 14 still be used for crop cultivation, drilling and 15 oil exploration. This is not conservation. 16 we need to learn from the past. 17 We see how damaging fracking and oil drilling 18 is. Is it worth losing the lives and the 19 ecosystems of our precious Florida? 20 Thank you. 21 22 MS. HINZMAN: Shirley Harner? 23 Pam Brown; and then after her, Patty Whitehead. MS. BROWN: Good evening. Pam Brown, P-A-M, 24 2.5 B-R-O-W-N.

First of all, I'm a citizen of Collier County.

I'm a lifelong resident.

We only found out about this last Friday, and I really think this has been an unfair time to respond to this.

As Clayton said earlier, we actually had 16,000 acres in a RLSA plan that was developed by Wilson Miller Stantec with the county; and now with Wilson Miller Stantec has now developed a plan to say, oh no, we were wrong about the 16,000, it's really 45,000 acres we need to develop.

I really believe that we need to have more time to address this.

There was a study that started this, I think last year, I was told, but nobody knew about this. And now we're going to be -- have this shoved down our throat now? Yeah, we have two years, but you're here. And do we really have a voice here to be able to say we don't want this sprawl, we want this done in a smart manner to live in harmony with nature?

And Please reject the HFCP plan as proposed and do something a little different here. We need to have smart growth.

Thank you.

1 MS. HINZMAN: Aaron Knott.

MS. WHITEHEAD: Good evening, Fish and Wildlife Service. Patty Whitehead for the record. My name is spelled P-A-T-T-Y, W-H-I-T-E-H-E-A-D. I'm here representing the Responsible Growth Management Coalition of Southwest Florida, a long-standing, venerable organization looking out for our environment, our quality of life and proper growth parameters. It was started by Ellen Peterson and Gene and Ellie Boyd of Lee County.

This HCP is a complete misnomer.

Number one, under the National Environmental Policy Act you're required to create an EIS -- I understand that -- and, as stated, prepare an EIS for any federal action that significantly affects the quality of the human environment.

Well, this will affect the quality of the human environment, because not only are you wiping out listed and endangered species habitat, but you're wiping out our agricultural lands. What kind of food security will we have in the future when you replace all of those row crops and all of those tomato fields and all of those citrus lands with people? How are you going to feed these people?

You know, a time will come where there's so

much unrest in the world, we're going to have 1 2 import bans. And yet, where are we going to find the resources to feed the people we're putting in 3 our agricultural zones? 4 So for -- on that basis alone, there's a direct 5 and significant impact to the quality of the human 6 environment, without even considering impacts to 7 the listed and endangered species. 8 Furthermore, when you add \$45,000 --9 45,000 acres of development, you're going to have 10 accretion of other development ringing around that 11 So development doesn't stand by development. 12 strict lines, nor do panthers stand by strict 13 Panthers don't know boundaries, all right? 14 A male panther needs 200 square miles to disperse. 15 And we've already had significant panther deaths 16 this year; last year, record numbers. 17 Please reject this plan. It is completely 18 uncalled for and unnecessary. 19 Thank you. 20 Pamela Duran. MS. HINZMAN: 21 22 MR. KNOTT: My name is Aaron Knott, A-A-R-O-N, 23 K-N-O-T-T. I also speak in opposition to the plan. 24 It is called a habitat conservation plan, and 2.5

yet there are many conservation groups in Florida and in South Florida who were never even consulted on the plan, so who's -- who is deciding that it's habitat conservation?

I support the comments of the Conservancy of Southwest Florida and the other conservation groups. The plan should -- should concentrate on saving the wildlife, not saving development plans.

Thank you.

MS. HINZMAN: James Duran.

MS. DURAN: Pamela Duran, P-A-M-E-L-A, D-U-R-A-N.

Water. Water, water, water. Residents depend on well water in Naples and the surrounding HCP. Overdevelopment depletes the aquifers. And now we have salt water intrusion. And to top it off, you're adding 11 acres of oil and gas development and production, which consumes a vast amount of water.

This reminds me of the time one of the landowners represented to -- went on TV and told everyone there would be no fracking. It was on TV. And then they fracked the Collier Hogan well.

It took 18 months to drill a thousand-feet water well. And they tested the water for no

2.5

fracking chemicals. Swept it under the rug, just 1 like the Phoenix land deal. 2 The past history of these landowners predicts 3 their future destruction. 4 Don't forget, Florida has droughts. 5 Kevin Doss. MS. HINZMAN: 6 MR. DOSS: Pass. 7 MS. HINZMAN: Brad Cornell. 8 MR. DURAN: I'm Jaime Duran. I'm from Golden 9 Gate Estates, and I rushed in here. 10 11 MS. HINZMAN: Spell your name, please. J-A-I-M-E, D-U-R-A-N. 12 MR. DURAN: Thank you. 1.3 MS. HINZMAN: MR. DURAN: A 50-year carte blanche permit is a 14 disaster. No turning back after that, after it is 15 issued. 16 You only need to look at the precedents, the 17 violations of corporations that claim to be 18 stewards of the environment and wildlife. Do not 19 believe their smoke and mirrors rhetoric. They are 20 only guided by greed and the obscene profits that 21 they want to obtain. 22 23 water is a limited resource, especially in Florida where we are at the end of the line. Salt 24 2.5 water intrusion is evident in many places in the

state, caused by overuse in agriculture, and its permitted activities such as cattle ranching, mining and oil drilling.

So many wild animals are further endangered by overdevelopment. Mining, inefficient food crops and oil exploration take away from critical habitat needed by wildlife.

Human survival is dependent on the healthy -on a healthy, natural environment. This project
has at its prime an ultimate objective that profits
its sponsors.

The HPC -- HCP is an insult to the good citizens of South Florida. It creates more urban sprawl, while it leaves many developed areas vacant. Surely there are better options available.

Just as an update, there are nesting woodpeckers in our property, just feet from the area in -- in question.

Thank you.

MS. HINZMAN: Jennifer Christiansen.

MR. CORNELL: Good evening. I'm Brad Cornell, and my name is B-R-A-D, C-O-R-N-E-L-L.

I'm here on behalf of Audubon of the Western

Everglades, Audubon, Florida, and we support a

modified habitat conservation plan as the landscape

scale permits.

1.3

These are not new activities. This is a federal permit on much of an existing 14-year-old local Rural Land Stewardship Program here in Collier County.

We, in Audubon, Florida, both participants in the Florida Panther Protection Program. We strongly believe a combination of regulation, incentives, and collaboration with private landowners and rural communities is essential to having any hope of recovering the Florida panther.

I spent almost two years working on a Collier County Rural Land Stewardship Program five-year review committee of the land use program, and this is the report that we issued after those two years.

Our committee identified some important improvements to that program, which I recommend you consider. The mapping, data, and analysis are robust and form the basis of both rural and stewardship program improvements and this HCP.

One improvement we recommended is incentives for protecting 40,000 acres of agricultural operations and lands which are compatible with Florida panther habitat as it's seen today.

This big plan is not perfect, but this

collaborative approach represented in this plan to privately held panther habitat is absolutely critical.

Audubon will be submitting our own constructive comments in writing, so thank you very much.

MS. HINZMAN: Sally Woliver. Woliver? Sorry.

MS. CHRISTIANSEN: Hi, my name is Jennifer Christiansen, J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R,

C-H-R-I-S-T-I-A-N-S-E-N.

MS. WOLIVER: You got it.

1.3

2.5

I am an educator, I'm a former college professor and a local business owner, and I'm here tonight to express my 100 percent disapproval of the Eastern Collier Habitat Conservation Plan. I refuse to sit by idly while those that value money over life and beauty, including people appointed to protect our wildlife, are attempting to erase these endangered species. There is no McMansion or state dinner more important than the imperiled species that need this space to survive.

This area is the last stand of the Florida panther. Take a look around. It is time to stop and call a moratorium on further development.

No matter what measures are included to try to appease us concerned citizens, it is not worth

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

2.5

giving away any more critical panther land.

The plan's additional protections are nowhere near enough to make the plan acceptable. offered panther corridor is not wide enough or reforested enough to make it useable by panthers, and the underpasses will not offset the road kills due to new traffic.

Any wildlife lucky enough to survive the devastation of their home would then be attracted to human food sources, which will result in more killing from homeowners or the FWC and police who are called for so-called nuisance animals.

This is not to mention the ridiculous and unconscionable incidental take section of the plan. The HCP gives landowners too much power over our jeopardized wildlife.

The public trust doctrine states that wildlife belongs to me as well, and I say "no" to further jeopardizing these endangered creatures for the sake of more development that our state lands cannot handle.

Move it out of the primary panther zone habitat. Reject the HCP.

Thank you.

MS. HINZMAN: Danny Gonzalez.

MR. GONZALEZ: Pass.

MS. HINZMAN: John Psaras.

MS. WOLIVER: Thank you for pronouncing my name right. It's Sally, S-A-L-L-Y; Woliver, W-O-L-I-V-E-R.

And as a thank you, a courtesy and respect that everybody, including all of you that gave up your dinner hour tonight, this is going to be short, but not sweet.

I want to bring your attention to a very important study that was just published two weeks ago. And since you're basing a lot of your science on current science, you may not be aware of this. This one is to do with sea level rise. It came out two weeks ago in the journal Nature. This sounds familiar. It was published. Co-authors from University of Pennsylvania and the University of Massachusetts.

And the latest studies are showing a six-foot rise by the end of this century. So right now, when we have this discussion, we're looking at rearranging the chairs on the Titanic, because the area that you're talking about, our area, is going to be more than likely under water.

So please take this into account. And I will

send you the links that I have on the study so you can look into this further, because this is not -we're back to what we did 50, 60 years ago, selling swamp land in Florida. Thank you. MS. HINZMAN: And then after -- it was Andy,

wasn't it? And then Dona Knapp.

DR. PSARAS: Good evening. My name is Dr. John Psaras, P-S-A-R-A-S.

I am a concerned citizen of Collier County. I've lived in this area on and off since 1980, and I have seen a tremendous amount of development.

I believe that everything that was supposed to be said has already been said, so I'll limit myself to a couple of technical issues.

The first one is: Why do we need an EIS? Nobody asked that question.

The need for an EIS is mandated by NEPA if a tract of land is disturbed; any kind of disturbance. There are two documents that NEPA prescribes. One is an EIS, which is an environmental impact statement; and the other one is an EA, which is an environmental assessment.

The tougher of the two, because of greater impact, is the environmental impact statement, so

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

we need to make sure that everybody understands why we need to have that.

Now, I believe that this particular HCP proposes conversion of natural and rural lands into an urban area equivalent in size, I estimated roughly about Washington, D.C., not New York.

There will be many impacts; beyond those, the wildlife that we have basically talked about today, including water resources, sensitive public lands, including archeological sites and also Native Indian sacred sites, as well as loss of prime agricultural land. So we need to consider all these in developing any future EIS.

Also, another issue is the fact that we have a 50-year HCP. Now, what I'd like to consider over here is that I hope that in the future changes in legislation, which are going to be effected for land conservation and management, are being considered. Because, as we all know, in the last 50 years an awful lot of changes have been made, and in the next 50 years there will be more changes, primarily driven by global warming.

Thank you very much.

MS. HINZMAN: The last card I have is for Carmen Flesher.

1.3

2.5

If you thought you turned in a card or wanted 1 2 to speak and didn't -- I didn't call your name, then please fill out a card and we'll be happy to 3 let you speak at the end. 4 MS. PSARAS: May I say one thing? I think my 5 husband and I both had cards back to back. 6 MS. HINZMAN: Oh, I'm sorry. 7 okay. MS. PSARAS: That's okay. 8 MS. HINZMAN: We'll let you go right after. 9 MS. PSARAS: All right. Thank you. 10 Good evening. Good evening. 11 MS. KNAPP: Dona Knapp, D-O-N-A, K-N-A-P-P. 12 I'm a resident of North Naples, lived here 13 since 1979, and I've seen many, many changes here. 14 You know, this whole idea stinks of money. 15 lot of money. And I don't see this as a 16 conservation for wildlife. I just see it as a way 17 of making more money for the rich that really don't 18 need to get any richer. 19 I mean, how many trees are proposed to be cut 20 down? How many animals, birds, reptiles and 21 insects, et cetera, are we destroying? Everything 22 23 depends on everything else. You take a food source away from one animal and it affects another. 24 It's -- and we're included in that. Humans are 2.5

included in that -- in that universal law.

Everything depends on everything else.

2.5

I -- I just -- I just want to tell you, please
reject this idea. It's -- it's -- I don't know.

Where will all the water come from? We're already getting salt water into our aquifers. Where's all that water going to come from to support people in the future? In ten years they say that our population here will have doubled. Where's all the water going to come from? Where's all the food going to come from? How are we going to support all the people that are moving here? And now you're proposing to destroy more wild lands. More wild lands. And once it's gone, it's gone.

It's paving over paradise just so a few rich people can get richer. They sacrifice the Creator's creation for their money that they can't eat. And we can't eat money and we can't drink oil, and I just wish people would wake up and realize these things before it's too late.

Because we truly are in a time of survival. We truly are in a time of survival, and we see it all over the world. And if we think that we're going to survive Mother Earth's power, we've got another

Ι

thing coming. We'll all be gone. 1 what are we going to tell our grandchildren? 2 mean, I hope to have grandchildren. I've got 3 children. I want them to have a good future here. 4 Thank you very much. And I hope you -- I hope 5 you deny these permits before it's too late and 6 we're all gone. It affects every one of us, even 7 the rich. 8 MS. PSARAS: My name is Andie Psaras, 9 A-N-D-I-E, P-S-A-R-A-S. 10 I don't have a whole lot to say because an 11 awful lot has already been said very eloquently, 12 and I'm no eloquent speaker. But as a concerned 13 citizen who has had a presence in Lee and Collier 14 Counties both since 1965, I understand the issues 15 of growth in this area. 16 Despite the proposed population growth 17 estimates over the next 50 years, I believe there's 18 another answer to this sort of development. 19 Development stresses the environment, it 20 stresses the animals and the water. 21

22

23

24

2.5

water is the issue for me. The panthers and all of the animals are the issue, but water for me is particularly the crux of the problem.

I'm concerned, in addition to the -- of the

greed that's behind the plan, and to that end, I would like to read this statement.

The necessary transportation system, which is just -- that's going to be needed to serve this level of development is protected to -- is projected to increase the vehicle trips on nonrural roads from -- by six to eight times their current rate.

In addition, there is no comment in any of the plan about the type of material made for the roads, so there's no permeable road material discussed, there's no sloughs that have, you know, slow, slow penetrating water from the rain. I mean there's just nothing considering the water with the road development.

There's already been a record number of Florida panther deaths on the roadway, and these deaths are likely to increase from the several new towns and developments proposed by the HCP.

State Road 29, a road that already claims a ton of accidents, in addition to panther road kill, will be the new main artery for this area of the county due to high level of development proposed by the HCP, and that would be just so tragic.

I would ask that you please reject this

proposal.

Thank you.

MS. HINZMAN: Carmen?

MS. FLESHER: Hi. My name is Carmen Flesher, C-A-R-M-E-N, F-L-E-S-H-E-R. And, actually, I am for the actually proposed -- I do believe that the moratorium -- we've all had a chance.

I've lived here my whole life. Ninety percent of these people have moved here from somewhere else. I truly believe more people should be able to move here.

The land should be able to be developed with good conscience of landowners. I believe it will provide more jobs in our area that are needed.

I believe that -- concerning panthers, I do not believe we, honest to God, have a true count on panthers. I believe that we have -- people have said anywhere from 140 to 180. I cannot believe that I've seen pictures, or myself, seen 20 percent of that count in the hundred areas that I live -- within the hundred-acre limit of the people in -- where we've had our game camera.

I truly believe that it should happen.

We do need to be careful with our water systems and stuff like that. I do believe that. And I

believe that FWC is responsible, and they also 1 should be -- they'll be able to, you know, maybe 2 maintain and watch all that. 3 MS. HINZMAN: Ma'am? Ma'am. Please be 4 respectful. 5 Thank you. 6 UNIDENTIFIED PARTICIPANT: You're welcome. 7 MS. FLESHER: Most of the people that have 8 objected to it, other people are for it. I mean, 9 like I said, prior. Did they really have -- you 10 know, they moved here. And if they're concerned 11 with everything and us moving out and having more 12 property and -- and all of that, let them give up 1.3 their homes. Let them -- that could be more 14 panther habitat. You know? 15 I truly believe that we're over -- putting 16 animals over our humans. Humans should come first. 17 Thank you. 18 Was there anyone else that wanted 19 MS. HINZMAN: to speak that had a card that I didn't call your 20 name? 21 All right. With that, I want to thank you all 22 23 very much for coming. We appreciate your time. appreciate your passion and your participation, and 24 we look forward to hearing from you again. 2.5

1	Good night. Drive safely.
2	(Thereupon, at 7:35 p.m. the proceedings were
3	concluded.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF LEE)
5	
6	
7	I, ANDREA J. STEFANICK, Registered Professional
8	Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime
9	Reporter and Florida Professional Reporter for the 20th
10	Judicial Circuit of Florida, do certify that I was
11	authorized to and did stenographically record the
12	foregoing proceedings and that the typewritten
13	transcript of proceedings is a true record.
14	
15	Dated this 28th day of April, 2016.
16	
17	
18	Marea Stefanick
19	Jequille
20	ANDREA J. STEFANICK, RMR, CRR, FPR Court Reporter
21	court Reporter
22	
23	
24	
25	